The Lehmer matrix and its recursive analogue

EMRAH KILIC¹, PANTELIMON STĂNICĂ²

¹TOBB Economics and Technology University, Mathematics Department 06560 Sogutozu, Ankara, Turkey; ekilic@etu.edu.tr ²Naval Postgraduate School, Department of Applied Mathematics

833 Dyer Rd., Monterey, CA 93943, USA; pstanica@nps.edu

May 1, 2009

Abstract

This paper considers the Lehmer matrix and its recursive analogue. The determinant of Lehmer matrix is derived explicitly by both its LU and Cholesky factorizations. We further define a generalized Lehmer matrix with (i, j) entries $g_{ij} = \frac{\min\{u_{i+1}, u_{j+1}\}}{\max\{u_{i+1}, u_{j+1}\}}$ where u_n is the *n*th term of a binary sequence $\{u_n\}$. We derive both the LU and Cholesky factorizations of this analogous matrix and we precisely compute the determinant.

1 Introduction

D.H. Lehmer (see [2]) constructed an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j}$ whose (i, j) entry is

$$a_{ij} = \frac{\min\{i, j\}}{\max\{i, j\}} = \begin{cases} i/j & j \ge i, \\ j/i & i > j. \end{cases}$$

Define the second order recurrence $\{U_n(p,q)\}$ as follows:

 $U_{n}(p,q) = pU_{n-1}(p,q) - qU_{n-2}(p,q),$

٦		
1		

where $U_0(p,q) = 0$ and $U_1(p,q) = 1$ for n > 1.

As an interesting example, we mention that the set of natural numbers can be obtained from the sequence $\{U_n(p,q)\}$ by taking p = 2, q = 1. Throughout this paper, we consider the case q = -1 and we denote $u_n = U_n(p, -1)$.

We now define an $n \times n$ generalized Lehmer matrix, namely $\mathcal{F}_n = (g_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ defined below:

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\min\{u_{i+1}, u_{j+1}\}}{\max\{u_{i+1}, u_{j+1}\}} = \begin{cases} \frac{u_{i+1}}{u_{j+1}} & \text{if } j \ge i, \\ \\ \frac{u_{j+1}}{u_{i+1}} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

where u_n is the *n*th term of the sequence $\{u_n\}$. In this paper, we obtain the general LU factorization and other explicit formulas for both the Lehmer matrix and its recursive analogue.

The Lehmer matrix is part of a family of matrices known as test matrices, which are used to evaluate the accuracy of matrix inversion programs since the exact inverses are known (see [1, 2]). It is hoped that our generalized Lehmer matrix will add to the literature of special matrices with known inverse.

2 The Lehmer Matrix

We start by obtaining the LU factorization of the Lehmer matrix A. Using the inverses of L and U, we obtain the explicit form for the inverse of A, whose inverse is well-known, thus obtaining another proof of this result.

We define the $n \times n$ invertible lower triangular matrix $L = (\ell_{ij})$ where $\ell_{ij} = j/i$ for $i \ge j$ and 0 otherwise. Next, we define the $n \times n$ invertible upper triangular matrix $U = (u_{ij})$ with $u_{ij} = \frac{2i-1}{ij}$ for $i \leq j$ and 0 otherwise. For example, when n=5, we get

	1	0	0	0	0		[1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	
	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	0	0		0	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{3}{6}$	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{3}{10}$	
L =	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	0	0	and $U =$	0	0	$\frac{5}{9}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{5}{15}$.
	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{2}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	1	0		0	0	0	$\frac{7}{16}$	$\frac{7}{20}$	
	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{4}{5}$	1		0	0	0	0	$\frac{9}{25}$ -	

The following result holds.

Theorem 1. For n > 0, the LU factorization of Lehmer matrix is given by

$$A = LU$$

where L and U were defined previously.

Proof. We split the proof into three cases. Case 1: i = j. By $\sum_{k=1}^{t} (2k - 1) = t^2$, then

$$a_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \ell_{ik} u_{ki} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \ell_{ik} u_{ki} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \frac{k}{i} \frac{(2k-1)}{k \cdot i} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \frac{2k-1}{i^2} = 1.$$

Case 2: i > j. Thus

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \ell_{ik} u_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^{j} \ell_{ik} u_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{k}{i} \frac{(2k-1)}{kj}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{2k-1}{ij} = \frac{1}{ij} \sum_{k=1}^{j} 2k - 1 = \frac{j}{i}.$$

Case 3: j > i. Then

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \ell_{ik} u_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \ell_{ik} u_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \frac{k}{i} \frac{(2k-1)}{kj}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{i} \frac{2k-1}{ij} = \frac{1}{ij} \sum_{k=1}^{i} 2k - 1 = \frac{i}{j},$$

which completes the proof.

We display an example below:

1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{5}$ -		[1	0	0	0	0]	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{5}$ -]
$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{4}$	$\frac{2}{5}$		$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{3}{6}$	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{3}{10}$	
$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	=	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{5}{9}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{5}{15}$.
$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{2}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	1	$\frac{4}{5}$		$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{2}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{7}{16}$	$\frac{7}{20}$	
$-\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{4}{5}$	1		$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{4}{5}$	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{9}{25}$ -	

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we obtain an explicit value of the determinant of the Lehmer matrix in the following corollary.

Corollary 1. For n > 0,

$$\det A = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n (n!)^3}$$

Proof. The proof follows from the LU factorization of matrix A by considering det $A = \det U = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2i-1}{i^2}$.

The nth Catalan number is given in terms of binomial coefficients by

$$C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} = \frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)!n!}$$

Thus we may note that

$$\det A = \frac{(n+1)}{2^n n!} C_n.$$

We continue our analysis by determining the $L_1L_1^T$ (named after Cholesky) factorization of the Lehmer matrix, where L_1 is a lower triangular matrix. The Cholesky factorization was obtained for a different kind of matrix defined using binary sequences by the second author in [3]. **Theorem 2.** The Cholesky factorization of the Lehmer matrix is given by

$$A = L_1 L_1^T$$

where $L_1 = (f_{ij})$ is a lower triangular matrix with $f_{ij} = \frac{\sqrt{2j-1}}{i}$ for all $i \ge j$.

Proof. If i > j, then

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} f_{ir} f_{jr} = \sum_{r=1}^{j} f_{ir} f_{jr} = \sum_{r=1}^{j} \frac{\sqrt{2r-1}}{i} \frac{\sqrt{2r-1}}{j}$$
$$= \frac{1}{ij} \sum_{r=1}^{j} (2r-1) = \frac{j}{i}.$$

If i = j, then

$$a_{ii} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} f_{ir}^2 = \sum_{r=1}^{i} f_{ir}^2 = \sum_{r=1}^{i} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2r-1}}{i}\right)^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{i^2} \sum_{r=1}^{i} (2r-1) = \frac{i^2}{i^2} = 1.$$

Finally, if i < j, then

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} f_{ir} f_{jr} = \sum_{r=1}^{i} f_{ir} f_{jr} = \frac{1}{ij} \sum_{r=1}^{i} (2r-1) = \frac{i}{j},$$

.

which proves the theorem.

As an example, for n = 5 and p = 1 (the Fibonacci sequence case), we have

[1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{5}$]	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$	0	0	0	0]	- 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{5}$ -	
	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{4}$	$\frac{2}{5}$		$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}$	
	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	=	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$	$\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}$	$\frac{\sqrt{5}}{4}$	$\frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}$	
	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{2}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	1	$\frac{4}{5}$		$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$	$\frac{\sqrt{5}}{4}$	$\frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$	$\frac{\sqrt{7}}{5}$	
	$-\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{4}{5}$	1.		$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}$	$\frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}$	$\frac{\sqrt{7}}{5}$	$\frac{\sqrt{9}}{5}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{\sqrt{9}}{5}$ -	

By Theorem 2, we find that, since $A = L_1 L_1^T$, we have that $\det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{ii}^2 = \prod_{t=1}^n \frac{2i-1}{i^2} = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n (n!)^3}$, that is, Corollary 1.

3 The Inverse of the Lehmer Matrix

Now we find an explicit formula for the inverse of the Lehmer matrix. For this purpose, we use its LU factorization as $A^{-1} = U^{-1}L^{-1}$. We first derive the inverses of the matrices L and U.

Lemma 1. Let $L^{-1} = (t_{ij})$ denote the inverse of L. Then

$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ i = j, \\ -\frac{j}{i} & if \ i = j+1, \\ 0 & otherwise, \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof can be easily checked from the product $L^{-1}L$. \Box Lemma 2. Let $U^{-1} = (w_{ij})$ denote the inverse of U. Then

$$w_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{i^2}{2i-1} & \text{if } i = j \\ -\frac{i(i+1)}{2i+1} & \text{if } i+1 = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof follows from the product $U^{-1}U$.

The inverse of the Lehmer matrix is found in the following theorem.

Theorem 3. For n > 0, let $A^{-1} = (b_{ij})$, then

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{4i^3}{4i^2 - 1} & \text{if } i = j < n\\ \frac{n^2}{2n - 1} & \text{if } i = j = n, \\ -\frac{i(i + 1)}{2i + 1} & \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $A^{-1} = U^{-1}L^{-1}$, using the previous two lemmas, we obtain for $1 \le i \le n - 1$,

$$b_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{ik} t_{ki} = w_{ii} + w_{i,i+1} t_{i+1,i}$$
$$= \frac{i^2}{2i-1} + \frac{i(i+1)}{2i+1} \frac{i}{(i+1)} = \frac{i^2}{2i-1} + \frac{i^2}{2i+1} = \frac{4i^3}{4i^2-1}$$

When i = j = n, it is easy to see that $b_{nn} = w_{nn} = \frac{n^2}{2n-1}$. If i = j + 1, then

$$b_{i+1,i} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{i+1,k} t_{ki} = w_{i+1,i+1} t_{i+1,i}$$
$$= \frac{(i+1)^2}{2i+1} \left(\frac{-i}{i+1}\right) = -\frac{i(i+1)}{2i+1}.$$

The last case j = i + 1 can be similarly done, and the proof is complete. \Box

Therefore we recover the known fact that the inverse of the Lehmer matrix is a symmetric tridiagonal matrix.

We give the following example as a consequence of the above theorem: for n = 4,

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0\\ -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{32}{15} & -\frac{6}{5} & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{6}{5} & \frac{108}{35} & -\frac{12}{7}\\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{12}{7} & \frac{16}{7} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{4}{3} & -\frac{6}{5} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{9}{5} & -\frac{12}{7}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{16}{7} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{4} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We also give a relation between the terms of inverse of the Lehmer matrix and triangular numbers. Recall that the *n*th triangular number T_n is defined as the sum of the first *n* natural numbers, that is, $T_n = 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. We can re-write $A^{-1} = (b_{ij})$ as $b_{ij} = -\frac{2T_i}{2i+1}$ for |i-j| = 1, and $b_{ii} = \frac{4i^3}{4i^2-1}$.

4 Recursive Analogue of the Lehmer Matrix

In this section we investigate the same questions for our generalized recursive analogue of the Lehmer matrix \mathcal{F}_n defined in the first section, namely, $\mathcal{F}_n = (g_{ij})$:

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\min\{u_{i+1}, u_{j+1}\}}{\max\{u_{i+1}, u_{j+1}\}} = \begin{cases} \frac{u_{i+1}}{u_{j+1}} & \text{if } j \ge i, \\ \\ \\ \frac{u_{j+1}}{u_{i+1}} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

where u_n is the *n*th term of the sequence $\{u_n\}$.

For example, when n = 5 and p = 1, the matrix \mathcal{F}_5 takes the following form:

	1	$\frac{u_2}{u_3}$	$\frac{u_2}{u_4}$	$\frac{u_2}{u_5}$	$\frac{u_2}{u_6}$	
	$\frac{u_2}{u_3}$	1	$\frac{u_3}{u_4}$	$\frac{u_3}{u_5}$	$\frac{u_3}{u_6}$	
$\mathcal{F}_5 =$	$\frac{u_2}{u_4}$	$\frac{u_3}{u_4}$	1	$\frac{u_4}{u_5}$	$\frac{u_4}{u_6}$	
	$\frac{u_2}{u_5}$	$\frac{u_3}{u_5}$	$\frac{u_4}{u_5}$	1	$\frac{u_5}{u_6}$	
	$\frac{u_2}{u_6}$	$\frac{u_3}{u_6}$	$\frac{u_4}{u_6}$	$\frac{u_5}{u_6}$	1	

In order to give the LU factorization of the matrix \mathcal{F}_n , we define two triangular matrices.

Define the $n \times n$ unit lower triangular matrix $L_2 = (c_{ij})$ with $c_{ij} = \frac{u_{j+1}}{u_{i+1}}$ for all $i \ge j$ and $u_{ij} = 0$ for all i < j.

For example, when n = 5, the matrix takes the form:

$$L_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{u_{2}}{u_{3}} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{u_{2}}{u_{4}} & \frac{u_{3}}{u_{4}} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{u_{2}}{u_{5}} & \frac{u_{3}}{u_{5}} & \frac{u_{4}}{u_{5}} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{u_{2}}{u_{6}} & \frac{u_{3}}{u_{6}} & \frac{u_{4}}{u_{6}} & \frac{u_{5}}{u_{6}} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Before defining an upper triangular matrix for the LU factorization of the matrix \mathcal{F}_n , we need to introduce a new sequence $\{t_n\}$ by the following relation:

$$t_n = (p-1)u_n + u_{n-1}$$
, that is, $t_n = u_{n+1} - u_n$, $n > 1$,

where u_n is defined as before.

Define the $n \times n$ upper triangular matrix $U_2 = (d_{ij})$ with $d_{1j} = \frac{u_2}{u_{j+1}}$ for $1 \le j \le n$, $d_{ij} = \frac{(u_i + u_{i+1})t_i}{u_{i+1}u_{j+1}}$ for $1 < i \le j \le n$.

From the definition of the sequence $\{t_n\}$, we rewrite the matrix U_2 with $d_{1j} = \frac{u_2}{u_{j+1}}$ for $1 \le j \le n$, $d_{ij} = \frac{u_{i+1}^2 - u_i^2}{u_{i+1}u_{j+1}}$ for $1 < i \le j \le n$.

For example, when n = 4, the matrix takes the form:

$$U_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{u_{2}}{u_{3}} & \frac{u_{2}}{u_{4}} & \frac{u_{2}}{u_{5}} & \frac{u_{2}}{u_{6}} \\ 0 & \frac{u_{3}^{2} - u_{2}^{2}}{u_{3}^{2}} & \frac{u_{3}^{2} - u_{2}^{2}}{u_{3}u_{4}} & \frac{u_{3}^{2} - u_{2}^{2}}{u_{3}u_{5}} & \frac{u_{3}^{2} - u_{2}^{2}}{u_{3}u_{6}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{u_{4}^{2} - u_{3}^{2}}{u_{4}^{2}} & \frac{u_{4}^{2} - u_{3}^{2}}{u_{4}u_{5}} & \frac{u_{4}^{2} - u_{3}^{2}}{u_{4}u_{6}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{u_{5}^{2} - u_{4}^{2}}{u_{5}^{2}} & \frac{u_{5}^{2} - u_{4}^{2}}{u_{5}u_{6}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{u_{6}^{2} - u_{5}^{2}}{u_{6}^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 4. For n > 0, the factorization of matrix $\mathcal{F}_n = (g_{ij})$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_n = L_2 U_2,$$

where U_2 and L_2 were defined previously.

Proof. Let $L_2U_2 = (h_{ij})$. We consider two cases, i > j and $i \le j$. For the first case, we write

$$h_{ij} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} c_{im} d_{mj} = \sum_{m=1}^{j} c_{im} d_{mj}$$

$$= c_{i1} d_{1j} + \sum_{m=2}^{j} \left(\frac{u_{m+1}}{u_{i+1}} \frac{(u_{m+1}^2 - u_m^2)}{u_{m+1} u_{j+1}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{u_2^2}{u_{i+1} u_{j+1}} + \frac{1}{u_{i+1} u_{j+1}} \sum_{m=2}^{j} (u_{m+1}^2 - u_m^2)$$

$$= \frac{u_2^2}{u_{i+1} u_{j+1}} + \frac{1}{u_{i+1} u_{j+1}} (u_{j+1}^2 - u_2^2) = \frac{u_{j+1}}{u_{i+1}} = g_{ij}.$$

If $i \leq j$, then similarly

$$h_{ij} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} c_{im} d_{mj} = \sum_{m=1}^{i} c_{im} d_{mj}$$

= $c_{i1} d_{1j} + \sum_{m=2}^{i} \left(\frac{u_{m+1}}{u_{i+1}} \frac{(u_{m+1}^2 - u_m^2)}{u_{m+1} u_{j+1}} \right)$
= $\frac{u_2^2}{u_{i+1} u_{j+1}} + \frac{1}{u_{i+1} u_{j+1}} \sum_{m=2}^{i} (u_{m+1}^2 - u_m^2)$
= $\frac{u_{i+1}}{u_{j+1}} = g_{ij},$

and the claim is shown.

Now we can find the value of $\det(\mathcal{F}_n)$ by considering its LU factorization.

Corollary 2. For n > 0,

$$\det (\mathcal{F}_n) = \prod_{i=2}^n \left(\frac{u_{i+1}^2 - u_i^2}{u_{i+1}^2} \right).$$

As a special cases of the matrix \mathcal{F}_n , we take the matrix \mathcal{F}_n^0 obtained using the Fibonacci sequence, that is, $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}, F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$. The determinant of this matrix becomes

$$\det \left(\mathcal{F}_{n}^{0} \right) = \frac{F_{n-1}!F_{n+2}!}{2\left(F_{n+1}!\right)^{2}},$$

where $F_n!$ is the Fibonomial factorial, that is, $F_n! = F_1F_2\cdots F_n$.

Next we give the Cholesky factorization of the generalized Lehmer matrix \mathcal{F}_n . For this purpose we define a lower triangular matrix $L_3 = (m_{ij})$ with $m_{i,1} = \frac{u_2}{u_{i+1}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $m_{ij} = \frac{1}{u_{i+1}} \sqrt{u_{j+1}^2 - u_j^2}$ for $1 < j \leq i \leq n$ and 0 otherwise.

When n = 4, the matrix L_3 takes the form:

$$L_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{u_{2}}{u_{3}} & \frac{1}{u_{3}}\sqrt{u_{3}^{2} - u_{2}^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{u_{2}}{u_{4}} & \frac{1}{u_{4}}\sqrt{u_{3}^{2} - u_{2}^{2}} & \frac{1}{u_{4}}\sqrt{u_{4}^{2} - u_{3}^{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{u_{2}}{u_{5}} & \frac{1}{u_{5}}\sqrt{u_{3}^{2} - u_{2}^{2}} & \frac{1}{u_{5}}\sqrt{u_{4}^{2} - u_{3}^{2}} & \frac{1}{u_{5}}\sqrt{u_{5}^{2} - u_{4}^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

The proof of the next theorem is analogous to the proof of Theorem 4, so it will be omitted.

Theorem 5. The Cholesky factorization of the recursive analogue of the Lehmer matrix is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_n = L_3 L_3^T$$

where L_3 is the lower triangular matrix defined previously.

5 The Inverse of the Generalized Lehmer Matrix

Here we give the inverse of the recursive analogue of the Lehmer matrix \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} by considering its LU factorization. Before this, we give the inverses of the matrices L_2 and U_2 in the following lemmas, stated without proofs, as they are immediate.

Lemma 3. Let $U_2^{-1} = (\hat{w}_{ij})$ denote the inverse of U_2 . Then

$$\hat{w}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j = 1\\ -\frac{u_{i+1}^2}{u_i^2 - u_{i+1}^2} & \text{if } 1 < i = j,\\ \frac{u_{i+1}u_{i+2}}{u_{i+1}^2 - u_{i+2}^2} & \text{if } i + 1 = j,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4. Let $L_2^{-1} = (\hat{t}_{ij})$ denote the inverse of L. Then

$$\hat{t}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ -\frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}} & \text{if } i = j+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Thus the inverse of the matrix \mathcal{F}_n is found in the following theorem.

Theorem 6. For n > 0, let $\mathcal{F}_n^{-1} = (q_{ij})$, then $q_{11} = \frac{u_3^2}{u_3^2 - u_2^2}$, $q_{nn} = \frac{u_{n+1}^2}{u_{n+1}^2 - u_n^2}$, $q_{i,i+1} = q_{i+1,i} = \frac{u_{i+1}u_{i+2}}{u_{i+1}^2 - u_{i+2}^2}$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$, $q_{ii} = \frac{u_{i+1}^2(u_{i+2}^2 - u_i^2)}{(u_{i+1}^2 - u_i^2)(u_{i+2}^2 - u_{i+1}^2)}$ for $2 \le i \le n-1$ and 0 otherwise.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}_n^{-1} = U_2^{-1}L_2^{-1}$, the proof follows from the previous two lemmas and from matrix multiplication.

For example, for n = 4,

$$\mathcal{F}_5^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{u_3^2}{u_3^2 - u_2^2} & \frac{u_2 u_3}{u_2^2 - u_3^2} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{u_2 u_3}{u_2^2 - u_3^2} & \left(\frac{u_3^2}{u_3^2 - u_2^2}\right) \left(\frac{u_4^2 - u_2^2}{u_4^2 - u_3^2}\right) & \frac{u_3 u_4}{u_3^2 - u_4^2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{u_3 u_4}{u_3^2 - u_4^2} & \left(\frac{u_4^2}{u_4^2 - u_3^2}\right) \left(\frac{u_5^2 - u_3^2}{u_5^2 - u_4^2}\right) & \frac{u_4 u_5}{u_4^2 - u_5^2}\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{u_4 u_5}{u_4^2 - u_5^2} & \frac{u_5^2}{u_5^2 - u_4^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

6 Further comment

With a bit more care, one can certainly remove the constraint q = -1 on the sequence U_n , and prove similar results like in the present paper for the corresponding generalized Lehmer matrix.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referee for the very careful and constructive comments.

References

- M. Marcus, Basic theorems in matrix theory, Nat. Bur. Standards Appl. Math. Ser. 57 (1960), 21-24.
- [2] M. Newman and J. Todd, The evaluation of matrix inversion programs, J. Society Industrial and Appl. Math. 6 (1958), 466– 476.
- [3] P. Stănică, Cholesky factorizations of matrices associated with rorder recurrent sequences, Elect. J. Combinat. Number Theory 5(2) (2005), #A16. (Also published in Proceedings of a Conf. in Honour of Tom Brown, Topics in Combinatorial Number Theory, DIMATIA, Volume 261, ITI Series.)