

More on the infinite sum of reciprocal usual Fibonacci, Pell and higher order recurrences

Emrah Kilic and Talha Arikan

*TOBB Economics and Technology University Mathematics Department 06560
Ankara Turkey*

Abstract

Recently some authors [Z. Wenpeng and W. Tingting, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (10) (2012), 6164–6167; T. Komatsu and V. Laohakosol, J. Integer Seq. 13 (5) (2010), Article 10.5.8.] computed partial infinite sums including reciprocal usual Fibonacci, Pell and generalized order- k Fibonacci numbers. In this paper we will present generalizations of earlier results by considering more generalized higher order recursive sequences with additional one coefficient parameter.

Key words: Reciprocal sums, Fibonacci-Pell numbers, higher order recurrence

1 Introduction

Let p and q be real numbers such that $p^2 + 4q \neq 0$. Define the generalized Fibonacci sequence $\{U_n(p, q)\}$, briefly $\{U_n\}$, and Lucas sequence $\{V_n(p, q)\}$, briefly $\{V_n\}$, as shown: for $n > 1$

$$\begin{aligned}U_n(p, q) &= pU_{n-1}(p, q) + qU_{n-2}(p, q), \\V_n(p, q) &= pV_{n-1}(p, q) + qV_{n-2}(p, q),\end{aligned}$$

where $U_0 = 0$, $U_1 = 1$, and, $V_0 = 2$, $V_1 = p$, respectively. The Binet formulae for $\{U_n\}$ and $\{V_n\}$ are

$$U_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \quad \text{and} \quad V_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n,$$

where $\alpha, \beta = (p \pm \sqrt{p^2 + 4q}) / 2$. Here note that $U_n(1, 1) = F_n$ (n th Fibonacci Number), $V_n(1, 1) = L_n$ (n th Lucas Number) and $U_n(2, 1) = P_n$ (n th Pell Number).

Ohtsuka and Nakamura [5] introduced and computed the following partial infinite sums including reciprocal Fibonacci numbers :

$$\left\lfloor \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_k} \right)^{-1} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} F_{n-2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \geq 2, \\ F_{n-2} - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\left\lfloor \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_k^2} \right)^{-1} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} F_{n-1}F_n - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \geq 2, \\ F_{n-1}F_n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the floor function.

Zhang and Wang [6] gave analogue of the result (1) for the Pell numbers :

$$\left\lfloor \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_k} \right)^{-1} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} P_{n-1} + P_{n-2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \geq 2; \\ P_{n-1} + P_{n-2} - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Also the same authors [7] gave the similar results for partial infinite sums including reciprocal squared-Pell numbers.

Holliday and Komatsu [1] obtained similar results for the terms of generalized Fibonacci sequence $\{U_n(p, 1)\}$:

$$\left\lfloor \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{U_k} \right)^{-1} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} U_n - U_{n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \geq 2, \\ U_n - U_{n-1} - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$\left\lfloor \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{U_k^2} \right)^{-1} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} pU_nU_{n-1} - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \geq 2, \\ pU_nU_{n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

In this paper we will consider on the following type higher order recurrence sequences and then give general results similar to the above partial sums. For any positive reals p and q , we define a k th order linear recursive sequence $\{u_n(p, q, k)\}$, briefly $\{u_n\}$, for $n > k$ as follows

$$u_n = pu_{n-1} + qu_{n-2} + u_{n-3} + \cdots + u_{n-k}, \quad (3)$$

with nonnegative initials $u_t \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t < k$ and assumed that at least one of them is different from zero.

The author [2] generalized the results given in (2) for the terms of generalized order- k Fibonacci sequence $\{u_n(p, q, 2)\}$ as shown : Then there exist a positive

integer n_0 such that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_k(p, q, 2)} \right)^{-1} \right\| = u_n(p, q, 2) - u_{n-1}(p, q, 2), \quad (n \geq n_0),$$

where $p \geq q$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the nearest integer. (Clearly $\|x\| = \lfloor x + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$).

Recently the authors [3] presented the following results for the order- k recursion $\{u_n(p, 1, k)\}$ (with an arbitrary coefficient p and arbitrary k initials but not all of them are zero):

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_k(p, 1, k)} \right)^{-1} \right\| = u_n(p, 1, k) - u_{n-1}(p, 1, k), \quad (n \geq n_0),$$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{u_k(p, 1, k)} \right)^{-1} \right\| = (-1)^n (u_n(p, 1, k) - u_{n-1}(p, 1, k)), \quad (n \geq n_1),$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_{2k}(p, 1, k)} \right)^{-1} \right\| = u_{2n}(p, 1, k) - u_{2n-2}(p, 1, k), \quad (n \geq n_2),$$

where n_0, n_1, n_2 are natural numbers depending on p .

In the rest of this paper, we will obtain generalizations of the results of [3] on the reciprocal sums of order- k recurrence sequence $\{u_n(p, 1, k)\}$ mentioned just above. To obtain such generalizations, we will consider the order- k recurrence sequence $\{u_n(p, q, k)\}$ (with two arbitrary coefficients p, q , and arbitrary k initials) instead of the sequence $\{u_n(p, 1, k)\}$.

2 Main Results

While considering the order- k sequences defined by (3), we assume that the restriction $p \geq q \geq 1$ throughout this paper.

Our first main result is

Theorem 1 *Let $\{u_n(p, q, k)\}$, briefly $\{u_n\}$, be an order- k sequence defined by (3) with the restriction $p \geq q \geq 1$. Then there exists a positive integer n_0 such that*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_k} \right)^{-1} \right\| = u_n - u_{n-1}, \quad (n \geq n_0).$$

Before the proof, we need the following lemmas :

Lemma 2 *Let p and q be positive reals with $p \geq q \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$. Then for the polynomial*

$$f(x) = x^k - px^{k-1} - qx^{k-2} - x^{k-3} - \dots - x - 1, \quad (4)$$

we have

(i) $f(x)$ has exactly one positive real root α with $p < \alpha < p + 1$.

(ii) other $k - 1$ roots of $f(x)$ are within the unit circle in the complex plane.

PROOF. Let

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= (x - 1) f(x) \\ &= x^{k+1} - (p + 1)x^k + (p - q)x^{k-1} + (q - 1)x^{k-2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The case $q = 1$ was given in [3]. We will consider two cases $p = q$ and $p > q$.

Case 1: If $p = q$, then

$$g(x) = x^{k+1} - (p + 1)x^k + (p - 1)x^{k-2} + 1.$$

This case is very similar to the case $q = 1$ so we omit it here.

Case 2: For $p > q > 1$, we have five nonnegative coefficients in the polynomial $g(x)$ given by

$$g(x) = x^{k+1} - (p + 1)x^k + (p - q)x^{k-1} + (q - 1)x^{k-2} + 1.$$

According to Descartes's rule, $f(x)$ has at most one positive real root and so $g(x)$ has at most two positive real roots (Clearly one of them is 1).

Now we examine that there exists an another positive real root. Since $p > 1$ and $k \geq 2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} g(p) &= \frac{1}{p^2} (p^k q + p^2 - p^k - p^{k+1} q) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2} (p^k q (1 - p) + (p^2 - p^k)) \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

and also since $p^2 > pq$ and $p > 1$ we have

$$g(p+1) = \frac{1}{(p+1)^2} \left((p+1)^k (p^2 - 1 + p - pq) + 2p + p^2 + 1 \right) > 0.$$

Thus there exist an another positive real root α of $g(x)$ with $p < \alpha < p+1$. As a result of this $f(x)$ has exactly one positive real root ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) with $p < \alpha < p+1$. So the proof of lemma (i) is complete.

By considering the lemma (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } x > \alpha, \text{ then } f(x) > 0, \\ \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } 0 < x < \alpha, \text{ then } f(x) < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } x > \alpha, \text{ then } g(x) > 0, \\ \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } 1 < x < \alpha, \text{ then } g(x) < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

To complete the proof of Lemma (ii), it is sufficient to show that there is no root on and outside of the unit circle.

Claim 1: $f(x)$ has no complex root z_1 with $|z_1| > \alpha$.

Assume that there exists such a root. So we have

$$f(z_1) = z_1^k - pz_1^{k-1} - qz_1^{k-2} - z_1^{k-3} - \dots - z_1 - 1 = 0$$

and then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1^k| &\leq p|z_1^{k-1}| + q|z_1^{k-2}| + |z_1^{k-3}| + \dots + |z_1| + 1 \\ f(|z_1|) &= |z_1|^k - p|z_1|^{k-1} - q|z_1|^{k-2} - |z_1|^{k-3} - \dots - |z_1| - 1 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts with (5).

Claim 2: $f(x)$ has no complex root z_2 with $1 < |z_2| < \alpha$.

Suppose that there exists such a root. Since $f(z_2) = 0$,

$$g(z_2) = z_2^{k+1} - (p+1)z_2^k + (p-q)z_2^{k-1} + (q-1)z_2^{k-2} + 1 = 0,$$

which implies

$$(p+1)|z_2|^k \leq |z_2|^{k+1} + (p-q)|z_2|^{k-1} + (q-1)|z_2|^{k-2} + 1.$$

So we have $g(|z_2|) \geq 0$. But this is a contradiction with (6).

Claim 3: On the circle $|z_3| = \alpha$ and $|z_3| = 1$, $f(x)$ has the unique root α .

Let $z_3 \neq \alpha$ and either $|z_3| = \alpha$ or $|z_3| = 1$ and also $f(z_3) = 0$, then

$$g(z_3) = z_3^{k+1} - (p+1)z_3^k + (p-q)z_3^{k-1} + (q-1)z_3^{k-2} + 1 = 0.$$

So we get

$$(p+1)|z_3|^k \leq |z_3|^{k+1} + (p-q)|z_3|^{k-1} + (q-1)|z_3|^{k-2} + 1.$$

Since α and 1 are also the roots of $g(z)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| z_3^{k+1} + (p-q)z_3^{k-1} + (q-1)z_3^{k-2} + 1 \right| \\ &= |z_3|^{k+1} + (p-q)|z_3|^{k-1} + (q-1)|z_3|^{k-2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds if and only if all parts lie on the same ray issuing from the origin. One of the parts is 1 (see [4]). So the other parts, z_3^{k+1} , $(p-q)z_3^{k-1}$, $(q-1)z_3^{k-2}$, must be element of \mathbb{R}^+ . Since $(p-q), (q-1) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, z_3^{k+1}, z_3^{k-1} and z_3^{k-2} must be elements of \mathbb{R}^+ . Therefore we obtain $z_3 \in \mathbb{R}^+$. There are two possibilities $z_3 = 1$ or $z_3 = \alpha$. Since $f(1) \neq 0$ the case $z_3 = 1$ is ruled out. From lemma (i) we know that $f(x)$ has exact one positive real root α . So the case $z_3 = \alpha$ has already known. Since multiple roots are counted separately by Descartes's rule, there is not an another positive real root. From these tree claims, lemma (ii) is proven.

Consequently, $f(x)$ has exactly one positive real root α with $p < \alpha < p+1$ and the other roots are within the unit circle.

Lemma 3 Let $k \geq 2$, then the closed formula of $\{u_n\}$ is given by

$$u_n = a\alpha^n + O(c^{-n}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

where $a > 0$, $c > 1$ and α is the positive real root of (4).

PROOF. Let $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t$ with $|\alpha_i| < 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ be distinct roots of $f(x)$ and r_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$ denotes the multiplicity of the root α_j . Then u_n can be written as follows

$$u_n = a\alpha^n + \sum_{i=1}^t P_i(n)\alpha_i^n,$$

where $P_i(n) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ with $\deg P_i = r_i - 1$, $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_t = k-1$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Since $|\alpha_i| < 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, each term of tail goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So we can

find constant $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $c > 1$ for $n > n_0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^t P_i(n) \alpha_i^n \leq K c^{-n},$$

which completes the proof. (Note that if all roots of $f(x)$ are distinct we can choose $c^{-1} = \max\{|\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2|, \dots, |\alpha_{k-1}|\}$ and $K = k - 1$.)

PROOF. [Proof of Theorem 1] From the geometric series as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{1 \pm \epsilon} = 1 \pm \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2) = 1 + O(\epsilon).$$

Using Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{u_k} &= \frac{1}{a\alpha^k + O(c^{-k})} = \frac{1}{a\alpha^k (1 + O((\alpha c)^{-k}))} \\ &= \frac{1}{a\alpha^k} (1 + O((\alpha c)^{-k})) = \frac{1}{a\alpha^k} + O\left((\alpha^2 c)^{-k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_k} &= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^k} + O\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (\alpha^2 c)^{-k}\right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{a(\alpha - 1)} \alpha^{-n} + O\left((\alpha^2 c)^{-n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By taking reciprocal we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_k}\right)^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\frac{\alpha}{a(\alpha-1)} \alpha^{-n} + O((\alpha^2 c)^{-n})} \\ &= \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} a \alpha^n (1 + O((\alpha c)^{-n})) \\ &= \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} a \alpha^n + O(c^{-n}) \\ &= u_n - u_{n-1} + O(c^{-n}). \end{aligned}$$

So there there exists n_0 such that the last error term becomes less than $1/2$ which completes the proof.

Theorem 4 Let $\{u_n(p, q, k)\}$, briefly $\{u_n\}$, be an order- k sequence defined by (3) with a restriction $p \geq q$. Then there exists a positive integer n_1 , such

that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{u_k} \right)^{-1} \right\| = (-1)^n (u_n + u_{n-1}), \quad (n \geq n_1).$$

PROOF. Here we start to the proof with computing the summand term

$$\frac{(-1)^k}{u_k} = \frac{(-1)^k}{a\alpha^k + O(c^{-k})} = \frac{(-1)^k}{a\alpha^k} \left(1 + O((\alpha c)^{-k}) \right).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{a\alpha^k} \left(1 + O((\alpha c)^{-k}) \right) = \frac{\alpha}{a(-\alpha)^n (\alpha + 1)} + O\left((\alpha^2 c)^{-n} \right).$$

By taking reciprocal,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{u_k} \right)^{-1} &= \frac{a(-\alpha)^n (\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} \left(1 + O((\alpha c)^{-n}) \right) \\ &= (-1)^n (a\alpha^n + a\alpha^{n-1}) + O(c^{-n}) \\ &= (-1)^n (u_n + u_{n-1}) + O(c^{-n}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we can find integer n_1 such that the error term is less than $1/2$ for $n \geq n_1$.

The following result could be proven similar to the previous results.

Theorem 5 *For the sequence which defined in (3) with a restriction $p \geq q$. Then there exist positive integers n_2 and n_3 such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_{tk+r}} \right)^{-1} \right\| &= (u_{tn+r} - u_{tn-t+r}), \quad (n \geq n_2), \\ \left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{u_{tk+r}} \right)^{-1} \right\| &= (-1)^n (u_{tn+r} + u_{tn-t+r}), \quad (n \geq n_3), \end{aligned}$$

where t and r positive integers with $0 \leq r < t$.

Now we present some examples of our results. When $q = 1$, $t = 2$, $r = 0$ and $r = 1$ in the previous theorem, respectively, we get same results given in [3].

When we take $p = 2$, $q = 1$, $k = 2$, with initials $u_0 = 0$ and $u_1 = 1$, respectively, we have same result in [6]. In addition we have more results such as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{P_k} \right)^{-1} \right\| &= (-1)^n (P_n + P_{n-1}), \quad (n \geq 1), \\ \left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_{tk+r}} \right)^{-1} \right\| &= (P_{tn+r} - P_{tn-t+r}), \quad (n \geq n_0). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Identity (7) can be also found in [3].

When $p = q = 1$, $k = 2$, $t = 5$ and $r = 3$ with initials $u_0 = 0$ and $u_1 = 1$, we obtain new result as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{5k+3}} \right)^{-1} \right\| &= (F_{5n+3} - F_{5n-2}), \quad (n \geq 1), \\ \left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{F_{5k+3}} \right)^{-1} \right\| &= (-1)^n (F_{5n+3} + F_{5n-2}), \quad (n \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

For example, we consider the sequence $\{u_n\}$ defined for $n > 3$ by

$$u_n = 7u_{n-1} + 4u_{n-2} + u_{n-3} + u_{n-4},$$

with initials $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$, $u_2 = 2$ and $u_3 = 3$. Then, by Theorems 1 and 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_k} \right)^{-1} \right\| &= u_n - u_{n-1}, \quad (n \geq n_0), \\ \left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{u_{tk+r}} \right)^{-1} \right\| &= (-1)^n (u_{tn+r} + u_{tn-t+r}), \quad (n \geq n_1), \end{aligned}$$

where n_0 and n_1 are determined according to the initial values and the roots of characteristic equation of sequence $\{u_n\}$.

References

- [1] S. H. Holliday and T. Komatsu, On the sum of reciprocal generalized Fibonacci numbers, *Integers* 11 (4) (2011), 441-455.
- [2] T. Komatsu, On the nearest integer of the sum of reciprocal Fibonacci numbers, *Aportaciones Matematicas Investigacion* 20 (2011), 171-184.
- [3] T. Komatsu and V. Laohakosol, On the sum of reciprocals of numbers satisfying a recurrence relation of order s , *J. Integer Seq.* 13 (5) (2010), Article 10.5.8.

- [4] D. S. Mitrinović, *Analytic inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
- [5] H. Ohtsuka and S. Nakamura, On the sum of reciprocal Fibonacci numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* 46/47 (2008/2009), 153–159.
- [6] Z. Wenpeng and W. Tingting, The infinite sum of reciprocal Pell numbers, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (10) (2012), 6164–6167.
- [7] Z. Wenpeng and W. Tingting, The infinite sum of reciprocal of the square of the Pell numbers, *Journal of Weinan Teacher's University* (26) (2011), 39-42.