

THE GENERALIZED q -PILBERT MATRIX

EMRAH KILIÇ AND HELMUT PRODINGER

ABSTRACT. A generalized q -Pilbert matrix from [2] is further generalized, introducing one additional parameter. Explicit formulæ are derived for the LU-decomposition and their inverses, as well as the Cholesky decomposition. The approach is to use q -analysis and to leave the justification of the necessary identities to the q -version of Zeilberger's celebrated algorithm. However, the necessary identities have appeared already in [2] in disguised form, so that no new computations are necessary.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Filbert matrix $H_n = (\tilde{h}_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ is defined by $\tilde{h}_{ij} = \frac{1}{F_{i+j-1}}$ as an analogue of the Hilbert matrix where F_n is the n th Fibonacci number. It has been defined and studied by Richardson [4].

In [1], Kılıç and Prodinger studied the generalized matrix with entries $\frac{1}{F_{i+j+r}}$, where $r \geq -1$ is an integer parameter. They gave its LU factorization and, using this, computed its determinant and inverse. Also the Cholesky factorization was derived. After this generalization, Prodinger [3] defined a new generalization of the generalized Filbert matrix by introducing 3 additional parameters. Again, explicit formulæ for the LU-decomposition, their inverses, and the Cholesky factorization were derived.

Recently, in [2], Kılıç and Prodinger give a further generalization of the generalized Filbert Matrix \mathcal{F} with entries $\frac{1}{F_{i+j+r}}$, where $r \geq -1$ is an integer parameter. They define the matrix \mathcal{Q} with entries h_{ij} as follows

$$h_{ij} = \frac{1}{F_{i+j+r}F_{i+j+r+1} \cdots F_{i+j+r+k-1}},$$

where $r \geq -1$ is an integer parameter and $k \geq 0$ is an integer parameter.

When $k = 1$, we get the generalized Filbert Matrix \mathcal{F} , as studied before. They derive explicit formulæ for the LU-decomposition and their inverses. Again, explicit formulæ for the LU-decomposition, their inverses, and the Cholesky factorization were derived.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A30, 11B39.

Key words and phrases. Filbert matrix, Pilbert matrix, Fibonacci numbers, q -analogues, LU-decomposition, Cholesky decomposition, Zeilberger's algorithm.

In this paper, we introduce a new kind generalization of the Filbert matrix \mathcal{F} and define the matrix \mathcal{G} with enties g_{ij} by

$$g_{ij} = \frac{1}{F_{\lambda(i+j)+r} F_{\lambda(i+j+1)+r} \cdots F_{\lambda(i+j+k-1)+r}},$$

where $r > -1$ and $\lambda > 1$ are integer parameters.

Here we note that the case $\lambda = 1$ was given in [2] so that we shall study the case $\lambda > 1$ throughout this paper. However, all the old results are covered as well, if in some cases the resulting formula is interpreted as a limit.

Our approach will be as follows. We will use the Binet form

$$F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} = \alpha^{n-1} \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q},$$

with $q = \beta/\alpha = -\alpha^{-2}$, so that $\alpha = \mathbf{i}/\sqrt{q}$.

Throughout this paper we will use the following notations: the q -Pochhammer symbol $(x; q)_n = (1 - x)(1 - xq) \cdots (1 - xq^{n-1})$ and as usual for $z > 1$, the Gaussian q -binomial coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(z,y)} = \frac{(q^z; q^y)_n}{(q^z; q^y)_k (q^z; q^y)_{n-k}},$$

and for the case $z = y$, we will denote the Gaussian q -binomial coefficients as

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_z = \frac{(q^z; q^z)_n}{(q^z; q^z)_k (q^z; q^z)_{n-k}}.$$

Here we should note that when $z = 1$, $(q^z; q^y)_n$ would be zero in some cases so that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(z,y)}$ would be indefinite. In order to prevent such cases, we will consider the Gaussian q -binomial coefficients for $z > 1$. Furthermore, for the matrix \mathcal{F} and its properties with $z = 1$, we can refer [2].

Considering the definitions of the matrix \mathcal{G} and the q -Pochhammer symbol, we rewrite the matrix $\mathcal{G} = [g_{ij}]$ for $\lambda \geq 1$ as

$$g_{ij} = \mathbf{i}^{k(\lambda(i+j)+r-1) + \frac{\lambda k(k-1)}{2}} q^{-\frac{k}{2}(\lambda(i+j)+r-1) - \frac{\lambda k(k-1)}{4}} \frac{(q^{\lambda(i+j)+r}; q^\lambda)_k}{(1-q)^k}.$$

We call the matrix \mathcal{G}_n the *generalized q -Filbert matrix*. (When $\lambda = 1$, we get the generalized Filbert Matrix \mathcal{Q} , as studied before.)

We will derive explicit formulæ for the LU-decomposition and their inverses. Similarly to the results of [1, 2], the size of the matrix does not really matter, and it can be thought about an infinite matrix \mathcal{G} and restrict it whenever necessary to the first n rows resp. columns and write \mathcal{G}_n . The entries of the inverse matrix \mathcal{G}_n^{-1} are not closed form expressions, as in our previous paper [1, 2], but can only be given as a (simple) sum. We also provide the Cholesky decomposition.

All the identities we will obtain hold for general q , and results about Fibonacci numbers come out as corollaries for the special choice of q .

Furthermore, we will use *generalized Fibonomial coefficients*

$$\begin{Bmatrix} n \\ k \end{Bmatrix}_{(a,b)} = \frac{F_{b(n-1)+a} F_{b(n-2)+a} \cdots F_{b(n-k)+a}}{F_a F_{b+a} F_{2b+a} \cdots F_{b(k-1)+a}}$$

with $\begin{Bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}_{(a,b)} = 1$ where F_n is the n th Fibonacci number.

For $a = b$, we denote the generalized Fibonomial coefficients as $\begin{Bmatrix} n \\ k \end{Bmatrix}_a$. Especially for $a = b = 1$, the generalized Fibonomial coefficients are reduced to the usual Fibonomial coefficients denoted by $\begin{Bmatrix} n \\ k \end{Bmatrix}$:

$$\begin{Bmatrix} n \\ k \end{Bmatrix} = \frac{F_n F_{n-1} \cdots F_{n-k+1}}{F_1 F_2 \cdots F_k}.$$

The link between the generalized Fibonomial and Gaussian q -binomial coefficients is

$$\begin{Bmatrix} n \\ k \end{Bmatrix}_{(z,y)} = \alpha^{yk(n-k)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(z,y)} \quad \text{with } q = -\alpha^{-2}.$$

We will obtain the LU-decomposition $\mathcal{G} = L \cdot U$, where $L = (l_{ij})$ and $U = (u_{ij})$:

Theorem 1. For $1 \leq d \leq n$ we have

$$l_{n,d} = \mathbf{i}^{\lambda k(d-n)} q^{\lambda \frac{k(n-d)}{2}} \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-1} (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-1}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-d} (q^{\lambda(n+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-1}}.$$

As a Fibonacci consequence of Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 1. For $1 \leq d \leq n$,

$$l_{n,d} = \begin{Bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda \begin{Bmatrix} 2d+k \\ d+1 \end{Bmatrix}_{(r,\lambda)} \begin{Bmatrix} n+d+k \\ n+1 \end{Bmatrix}_{(r,\lambda)}^{-1}.$$

From the Corollary above, we have the following examples: For $\lambda = 2$, $r = -1$,

$$l_{n,d} = \begin{Bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{Bmatrix}_2 \begin{Bmatrix} n+d+k-2 \\ d+k-1 \end{Bmatrix}_2 \begin{Bmatrix} 4d+2k-3 \\ 2d-1 \end{Bmatrix} \times \begin{Bmatrix} 2d+k-2 \\ d-1 \end{Bmatrix}_2^{-1} \begin{Bmatrix} 2n+2d+2k-3 \\ 2n-1 \end{Bmatrix}^{-1},$$

and, for $\lambda = 2$, $r = 0$,

$$l_{n,d} = \begin{Bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{Bmatrix}_2 \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ d \end{Bmatrix}_2 \begin{Bmatrix} n+d+k-1 \\ n-d \end{Bmatrix}_2^{-1}.$$

Theorem 2. For $1 \leq d \leq n$ we have

$$u_{d,n} = \mathbf{i}^{\lambda \frac{k}{2}(1-k) - \lambda k(n+d) + k - kr} q^{\lambda \left[\frac{k}{2}(d+n-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{k}{2}) - d + d^2 \right] + \frac{k(r-1)}{2} - r + dr} (1-q)^k \\ \times \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d+k-2} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-1}}{(q^{\lambda(d+k)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d-1} (q^{\lambda(n+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-d} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{k-1}}.$$

Its Fibonacci Corollary:

Corollary 2. For $1 \leq d \leq n$

$$u_{d,n} = (-1)^{r(d-1)} \begin{Bmatrix} n+d+k \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_{(r;\lambda)}^{-1} \begin{Bmatrix} d+k-2 \\ d-1 \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda \begin{Bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda \\ \times \left(\prod_{t=1}^{d-1} F_{t\lambda} \right)^2 \left(\prod_{t=0}^{2d+k-2} F_{t\lambda+r} \right)^{-1} F_{\lambda n+r}.$$

From the Corollary above, we give the following examples: for $\lambda = 2, r = -1$,

$$u_{d,n} = (-1)^{d-1} \begin{Bmatrix} 2n+2d+2k-3 \\ 2n \end{Bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{Bmatrix} n+d+k-2 \\ n-d \end{Bmatrix}_2 \begin{Bmatrix} 2d+k-2 \\ k-1 \end{Bmatrix}_2 \\ \times \left(\prod_{t=1}^{2d-1} F_{2t} \right) \left(\prod_{t=1}^{2d+k-2} F_{2t-1} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{F_{2n}},$$

and, for $\lambda = 2, r = 0$,

$$u_{d,n} = \begin{Bmatrix} 2d+k-2 \\ d-1 \end{Bmatrix}_2^{-1} \begin{Bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{Bmatrix}_2 \begin{Bmatrix} n+d+k-1 \\ n+1 \end{Bmatrix}_2^{-1} \left(\prod_{t=1}^{k-1} F_{2t} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{F_{2n+2}}.$$

We could also determine the inverses of the matrices L and U :

Theorem 3. For $1 \leq d \leq n$ we have

$$l_{n,d}^{-1} = \mathbf{i}^{(\lambda k+2)(d-n)} q^{\frac{\lambda}{2}(d-n)(d-k-n+1)} \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-1} (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{n+k-2}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-d} (q^{\lambda(n+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{n+k-2}}.$$

Its Fibonacci Corollary:

Corollary 3. For $1 \leq d \leq n$

$$l_{n,d}^{-1} = \mathbf{i}^{(d-n)(\lambda+d\lambda-n\lambda+2)} \begin{Bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda \begin{Bmatrix} n+d+k-1 \\ d+1 \end{Bmatrix}_{(r;\lambda)} \begin{Bmatrix} 2n+k-1 \\ n+1 \end{Bmatrix}_{(r;\lambda)}^{-1}.$$

Thus we have the following examples: for $\lambda = 2, r = -1$,

$$l_{n,d}^{-1} = (-1)^{d+n} \begin{Bmatrix} 2n+k-3 \\ n-d \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} 2n-1 \\ 2d-1 \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} 4n+2k-5 \\ 2n-2d \end{Bmatrix}^{-1},$$

and, for $\lambda = 2, r = 0$,

$$l_{n,d}^{-1} = (-1)^{d+n} \begin{Bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{Bmatrix}_2 \begin{Bmatrix} n+d+k-2 \\ d \end{Bmatrix}_2 \begin{Bmatrix} 2n+k-2 \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_2.$$

Theorem 4. For $1 \leq d \leq n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{d,n}^{-1} &= (-1)^{\frac{\lambda k(d+n)}{2} + \frac{kr}{2} - d + \lambda \frac{k(k-1)}{4} - \frac{k}{2} + n^2} \\ &\times q^{-\lambda \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + r - \lambda \frac{k(d+n)}{2} - \frac{kr}{2} - \lambda nd + \lambda \frac{d(d+1)}{2} - \lambda \frac{k(k-1)}{4} + \frac{k}{2} - rn} \\ &\times \frac{(q^{\lambda(n+k)+r}; q^\lambda)_n (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{n+k-2} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{k-1}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n+k-2} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-d} (1-q)^k}. \end{aligned}$$

And its Fibonacci corollary:

Corollary 4. For $1 \leq d \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{d,n}^{-1} &= (-1)^{n-d+r(1-n)} \mathbf{i}^{n\lambda(1-n) - d\lambda(2n-1-d)} \left(\prod_{t=0}^{2n+k-2} F_{t\lambda+r} \right) \left(\prod_{t=1}^{2n-2} F_{t\lambda} \right) \\ &\times \begin{Bmatrix} 2n+k \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_{(r,\lambda)} \begin{Bmatrix} n+d+k-1 \\ d+1 \end{Bmatrix}_{(r,\lambda)} \begin{Bmatrix} 2n+k-2 \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_{(r,\lambda)}^{-1} \\ &\times \begin{Bmatrix} n+k-2 \\ k-1 \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda^{-1} \begin{Bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda \begin{Bmatrix} 2n-2 \\ n-1 \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Especially for $\lambda = 2, r = -1$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{d,n}^{-1} &= (-1)^{d+1} \begin{Bmatrix} 2n+2d+2k-5 \\ 2d-2 \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} 2n+k-3 \\ n-d \end{Bmatrix}_2 \begin{Bmatrix} 2n+k-3 \\ k-1 \end{Bmatrix}_2^{-1} \\ &\times \left(\prod_{t=1}^{2n+k-1} F_{2t-1} \right) \left(\prod_{t=1}^{2n-2} F_{2t} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{F_{2d-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and, for $\lambda = 2, r = 0$,

$$u_{d,n}^{-1} = (-1)^{d+n} \begin{Bmatrix} n+d+k-2 \\ d \end{Bmatrix}_2 \begin{Bmatrix} 2n+k-1 \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_2 \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ d-1 \end{Bmatrix}_2 \left(\prod_{t=1}^{k-1} F_{2t} \right) F_{2d}.$$

As a consequence, we can compute the determinant of \mathcal{Q}_n , since it is simply evaluated as $u_{1,1} \cdots u_{n,n}$ (we only state the Fibonacci versions):

Theorem 5.

$$\det \mathcal{G}_n = (-1)^{\frac{r}{2}n(n-1)} \prod_{d=1}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} 2d+k \\ d \end{matrix} \right\}_{(r,\lambda)}^{-1} \left\{ \begin{matrix} d+k-2 \\ d-1 \end{matrix} \right\}_{\lambda} \\ \times \left(\prod_{t=1}^{d-1} F_{t\lambda} \right)^2 \left(\prod_{t=0}^{2d+k-2} F_{t\lambda+r} \right)^{-1} F_{\lambda d+r}.$$

As examples, we have that for $\lambda = 2$ and $r = -1$,

$$\det \mathcal{G}_n = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+3)} \prod_{d=1}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} 4d+2k-3 \\ 2d \end{matrix} \right\}^{-1} \left\{ \begin{matrix} 2d+k-2 \\ k-1 \end{matrix} \right\}_2 \\ \times \left(\prod_{t=1}^{2d-1} F_{2t} \right) \left(\prod_{t=1}^{2d+k-2} F_{2t-1} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{F_{2d}},$$

and, for $\lambda = 2$, $r = -1$

$$\det \mathcal{G}_n = \left(\prod_{v=1}^{k-1} F_{2v} \right)^{-1} \prod_{d=1}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} 2d+k-2 \\ d-1 \end{matrix} \right\}_2^{-1} \left\{ \begin{matrix} 2d+k-1 \\ d+1 \end{matrix} \right\}_2^{-1} \frac{1}{F_{2d+2}}.$$

Now we compute the inverse of the matrix \mathcal{G} . This time it depends on the dimension, so we compute $(\mathcal{G}_n)^{-1}$.

Theorem 6. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$:

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\mathcal{G}_n)^{-1})_{i,k} \\ &= (-1)^{(j-i) - \frac{k}{2}(1-r) - (\frac{1-k}{2} - i - j) \frac{k\lambda}{2}} q^{r - (1-i-j-j^2) \frac{\lambda}{2} + (\frac{1-k}{2} - i - j) \frac{k\lambda}{2} + \frac{k}{2}(1-r)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{k-1}}{(1-q)^k (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{j-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{i-1} (q^r; q^\lambda)_{i+1} (q^r; q^\lambda)_{j+1}} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\max\{i,j\} \leq h \leq n} \frac{(q^r; q^\lambda)_{h+k+i-1} (q^r; q^\lambda)_{h+1} (q^r; q^\lambda)_{h+k+j-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{h-1}}{(q^r; q^\lambda)_{h+k} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{h+k-2} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{h-i} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{h-j}} \\ & \quad \times \left(1 - q^{\lambda(2h+k-1)+r} \right) q^{-hj\lambda - hr - ih\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we provide the Cholesky decomposition.

Theorem 7. For $i, j \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{i,j} &= \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{i-1} (1-q)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(q^{\lambda(i+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{j+k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{i-j}} \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{i}^{-\lambda \frac{k^2}{4} + \lambda \frac{k}{4} + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3rk}{2} - \lambda ik} q^{\lambda \frac{j(j-1)}{2} + \lambda \frac{ki}{2} + \lambda \frac{k^2}{8} - \lambda \frac{k}{8} - \frac{k}{4} + \frac{rj}{2} + \frac{kr}{4} - \frac{r}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{\frac{(1 - q^{\lambda(2j+k-1)+r}) (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{j+k-2} (q^{\lambda(j+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{k-1}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{j-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Its Fibonacci Corollary:

Corollary 5. For $i, j \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{i,j} &= \mathbf{i}^{(j\lambda+r)(j-1)} (-1)^{kr} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i+j+k \\ i+1 \end{matrix} \right\}_{(r,\lambda)}^{-1} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i-1 \\ j-1 \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda \left(\prod_{t=0}^{j+k-2} F_{\lambda t+r} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\prod_{t=1}^{j-1} F_{\lambda t} \right) \sqrt{\left\{ \begin{matrix} j+k-2 \\ k-1 \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda \left\{ \begin{matrix} j+k \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right\}_{(r,\lambda)} \left(\prod_{t=0}^{k-2} F_{\lambda t+r} \right) F_{\lambda(2j+k-1)+r}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the Corollary above, we give the following examples: for $\lambda = 2, r = -1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{i,j} &= \mathbf{i}^{1-j} (-1)^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} i+j+k-1 \\ i \end{matrix} \right\}_{(1,2)}^{-1} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i-1 \\ j-1 \end{matrix} \right\}_2 \left(\prod_{t=1}^{j-1} F_{2t} \right) \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{\left\{ \begin{matrix} j+k-2 \\ k-1 \end{matrix} \right\}_2 \left\{ \begin{matrix} 2j+k-1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\}_{(1,2)} \left(\prod_{t=1}^{2j+k-1} F_{2t-1} \right)^{-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and, for $\lambda = 2, r = 0$,

$$\mathcal{C}_{i,j} = (-1)^{j(j-1)} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i+j+k-1 \\ i \end{matrix} \right\}_2^{-1} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i-1 \\ j-1 \end{matrix} \right\}_2 \sqrt{\frac{F_{2(2j+k-1)}}{F_{2j} F_{2(j+k-1)}} \left(\prod_{t=1}^{k-1} F_{2t} \right)^{-1}}.$$

2. PROOFS

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_d l_{md} u_{dn} \\ &= \sum_d \mathbf{i}^{\lambda k(d-m)} q^{\lambda \frac{k(m-d)}{2}} \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{m-1} (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-1}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{m-d} (q^{\lambda(m+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-1}} \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{i}^{\lambda \frac{k}{2}(1-k) - \lambda k(n+d) + k - kr} q^{\lambda [\frac{k}{2}(d+n - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{2}) - d + d^2] + \frac{k(r-1)}{2} - r + dr} (1-q)^k \\ &\quad \times \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d+k-2} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-1}}{(q^{\lambda(d+k)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d-1} (q^{\lambda(n+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-d} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{k-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we only continue with terms that depend on the summation index d :

$$\sum_d q^{\lambda(-d+d^2)+dr} \frac{(q^r; q^\lambda)_{2d+k}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{m-d} (q^r; q^\lambda)_{m+d+k}} \times \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d+k-2}}{(q^r; q^\lambda)_{2d+k-1} (q^r; q^\lambda)_{n+d+k} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-d}}.$$

We set $Q := q^\lambda$ and $s = r/\lambda$:

$$\sum_d Q^{-d+d^2+ds} \frac{(Q^s; Q)_{2d+k}}{(Q; Q)_{d-1} (Q; Q)_{m-d} (Q^s; Q)_{m+d+k}} \times \frac{(Q; Q)_{d+k-2}}{(Q^s; Q)_{2d+k-1} (Q^s; Q)_{n+d+k} (Q; Q)_{n-d}}.$$

Apart from a constant factor, this is the sum that has been evaluated already in [2], when (q, r) from [2] is replaced by (Q, s) .

Now we look at the inverse matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \leq d \leq m} l_{m,d} l_{d,n}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{n \leq d \leq m} \mathbf{i}^{\lambda k(d-m)} q^{\lambda \frac{k(m-d)}{2}} \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{m-1} (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-1}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{m-d} (q^{\lambda(m+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-1}} \\ & \times \mathbf{i}^{(\lambda k+2)(n-d)} q^{\frac{\lambda}{2}(n-d)(n-k-d+1)} \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-1} (q^{\lambda(n+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-2}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-n} (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-2}} \\ &= \mathbf{i}^{\lambda k(n-m)} \sum_{n \leq d \leq m} q^{\lambda \frac{k(m-d)}{2}} \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{m-1} (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-1}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{m-d} (q^{\lambda(m+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-1}} \\ & \times (-1)^{n-d} q^{\frac{\lambda}{2}(n-d)(n-k-d+1)} \frac{(q^{\lambda(n+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-2}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-n} (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We only continue with terms that depend on the summation index d :

$$\sum_{n \leq d \leq m} \frac{(-1)^d q^{-\lambda nd + \frac{\lambda}{2} d(d-1)} (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-1} (q^{\lambda(n+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-2}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{m-d} (q^{\lambda(m+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-n} (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{d+k-2}}.$$

We replace $Q := q^\lambda$, $s := r/\lambda$ and leave out irrelevant factors:

$$\sum_{n \leq d \leq m} \frac{(-1)^d Q^{-nd + \binom{d}{2}} (1 - Q^{s+2d+k-1}) (Q^s; Q)_{n+d+k-1}}{(Q; Q)_{m-d} (Q; Q)_{d-n} (Q^s; Q)_{m+d+k}}.$$

Apart from a constant factor, this is the sum that has been evaluated already in [2], when (q, r) from [2] is replaced by (Q, s) .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m \leq d \leq n} u_{m,d} u_{d,n}^{-1} \\
&= \sum_{m \leq d \leq n} \mathbf{i}^{\lambda \frac{k}{2}(1-k) - \lambda k(d+m) + k - kr} q^{\lambda[\frac{k}{2}(m+d - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{2}) - m + m^2] + \frac{k(r-1)}{2} - r + mr} (1-q)^k \\
&\times \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{m+k-2} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-1}}{(q^{\lambda(m+k)+r}; q^\lambda)_{m-1} (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{m+k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-m} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{k-1}} \\
&\times (-1)^{\frac{\lambda k(d+n)}{2} + \frac{kr}{2} - d + \lambda \frac{k(k-1)}{4} - \frac{k}{2} + n^2} \\
&\times q^{-\lambda \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + r - \lambda \frac{k(d+n)}{2} - \frac{kr}{2} - \lambda nd + \lambda \frac{d(d+1)}{2} - \lambda \frac{k(k-1)}{4} + \frac{k}{2} - rn} \\
&\times \frac{(q^{\lambda(n+k)+r}; q^\lambda)_n (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{n+k-2} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{k-1}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n+k-2} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-d} (1-q)^k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Once again, we only write the terms that do depend on d :

$$\sum_{m \leq d \leq n} \frac{(-1)^d q^{-\lambda nd + \lambda \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-1} (q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{n+k-2}}{(q^{\lambda(d+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{m+k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-m} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n+k-2} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{d-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{n-d}}.$$

And again we do the usual replacement and ignore irrelevant factors:

$$\sum_{m \leq d \leq n} \frac{(-1)^d Q^{-nd + \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} (Q^s; Q)_{d+n+k-1}}{(Q^s; Q)_{d+m+k} (Q; Q)_{d-m} (Q; Q)_{n+k-2} (Q; Q)_{n-d}}.$$

And once again, this has been evaluated already in our previous paper.

Finally, for the Cholesky decomposition, we need to consider

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq \min\{i, l\}} \mathcal{C}_{i,j} \mathcal{C}_{l,j},$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \min\{i, l\}} \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{i-1} (1-q)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(q^{\lambda(i+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{j+k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{i-j}} q^{\lambda \frac{j(j-1)}{2} + \lambda \frac{ki}{2} + \lambda \frac{k^2}{8} - \lambda \frac{k}{8} - \frac{k}{4} + \frac{rj}{2} + \frac{kr}{4} - \frac{r}{2}} \\
&\times \mathbf{i}^{-\lambda \frac{k^2}{4} + \lambda \frac{k}{4} + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3rk}{2} - \lambda ik} \sqrt{\frac{(1 - q^{\lambda(2j+k-1)+r}) (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{j+k-2} (q^{\lambda(j+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{k-1}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{j-1}}} \\
&\times \frac{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{l-1}}{(q^{\lambda(l+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{j+k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{l-j}} (1-q)^{\frac{k}{2}} q^{\lambda \frac{j(j-1)}{2} + \lambda \frac{kl}{2} + \lambda \frac{k^2}{8} - \lambda \frac{k}{8} - \frac{k}{4} + \frac{rj}{2} + \frac{kr}{4} - \frac{r}{2}} \\
&\times \mathbf{i}^{-\lambda \frac{k^2}{4} + \lambda \frac{k}{4} + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3rk}{2} - \lambda lk} \sqrt{\frac{(1 - q^{\lambda(2j+k-1)+r}) (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{j+k-2} (q^{\lambda(j+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{k-1}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{j-1}}}
\end{aligned}$$

We only let the terms survive that do depend on the summation index j :

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq \min\{i, l\}} \frac{q^{\lambda j(j-1)+rj}}{(q^{\lambda(i+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{j+k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{i-j}} \times \frac{(1 - q^{\lambda(2j+k-1)+r})(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{j+k-2} (q^{\lambda(j+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{k-1}}{(q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{j-1} (q^{\lambda(l+1)+r}; q^\lambda)_{j+k-1} (q^\lambda; q^\lambda)_{l-j}}.$$

Rewriting it:

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq \min\{i, l\}} \frac{Q^{j(j-1)+sj} (1 - Q^{2j+k+s-1})(Q; Q)_{j+k-2} (Q^s; Q)_{j+k}}{(Q^s; Q)_{i+j+k} (Q; Q)_{i-j} (Q^s; Q)_{j+1} (Q; Q)_{j-1} (Q^s; Q)_{l+j+k} (Q; Q)_{l-j}}.$$

And this is again the sum already studied in our previous paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Kılıç and H. Prodinger, A generalized Filbert Matrix, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 48 (1) (2010), 29–33.
- [2] E. Kılıç and H. Prodinger, The q -Pilbert Matrix, Int. J. Comput. Math. 89 (10) (2012), 1370–1377.
- [3] H. Prodinger, A generalization of a Filbert Matrix with 3 additional parameters, Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa, 65 (2010), 169–172.
- [4] T. Richardson, The Filbert matrix, The Fibonacci Quarterly 39 (3) (2001), 268–275.

TOBB UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS AND TECHNOLOGY MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT 06560 ANKARA TURKEY

E-mail address: ekilic@etu.edu.tr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF STELLENBOSCH 7602 STELLENBOSCH SOUTH AFRICA

E-mail address: hprodinger@sun.ac.za